

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof  
Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

# The Representability of Partial Recursive Functions in Arithmetical Theories and Categories

Yan Steimle

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Ottawa

Foundational Methods in Computer Science  
May 30 – June 2, 2018

# First-order theories

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof  
Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

- With equality
- $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  satisfying the rules for intuitionistic sequent calculus
- Logical axioms:
  - For all theories, decidability of equality (DE):

$$x \neq y \vee x = y$$

- To obtain classical theories, the excluded middle (EM):  
 $\neg\varphi \vee \varphi$ , for all formulas  $\varphi$

# The arithmetical theory $M$

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems  
Statements  
Proof  
Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

- Let  $\mathcal{L}_M$  be the first-order language with  $0, S, \cdot, +$ .
- Let  $S^n(0)$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  numeral, denoted  $\bar{n}$ .
- Let  $x < y$  abbreviate  $(\exists w)(x + S(w) = y)$ .
- Let  $(\exists!y)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  abbreviate

$$(\exists y)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y) \wedge (\forall y)(\forall z)(\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y) \wedge \varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) \Rightarrow y = z).$$

# The arithmetical theory $M$

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Definition

$M$  is a theory over  $\mathcal{L}_M$  with the nonlogical axioms

$$(M1) \quad S(x) \neq 0$$

$$(M2) \quad S(x) = S(y) \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$(M3) \quad x + 0 = x$$

$$(M4) \quad x + S(y) = S(x + y)$$

$$(M5) \quad x \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$(M6) \quad x \cdot S(y) = (x \cdot y) + x$$

$$(M7) \quad x \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\exists y)(x = S(y))$$

$$(M8) \quad x < y \vee x = y \vee y < x$$

We consider an arbitrary *arithmetical theory*  $T$ , i.e. a consistent r.e. extension of  $M$ .

# Recursive functions, brief overview

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

- Primitive recursive: basic functions; closed under substitution (S) and primitive recursion (PR)
- Total recursive: basic functions; closed under (S), (PR), and total  $\mu$
- Partial recursive: basic functions; closed under (S), (PR), and partial  $\mu$

# Representability of total functions

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Definition

A function  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is *numeralwise representable* in  $T$  as a total function if there exists a formula  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  satisfying

- (a) for all  $\mathbf{m}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ , if  $f(\mathbf{m}) = n$ , then  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{n})$
- (b) for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,  $\vdash (\exists!y)\varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, y)$

$f$  is *strongly representable* in  $T$  as a total function if there exists a formula  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  satisfying (a) and

- (b)'  $\vdash (\exists!y)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$

# Representability of partial functions

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Definition

For  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  consider the conditions

(P1) for all  $\mathbf{m}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{m}) \simeq n$  iff  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{n})$

(P2) for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, y) \wedge \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, z) \Rightarrow y = z$

(P3)  $\vdash \varphi(\mathbf{x}, y) \wedge \varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) \Rightarrow y = z$

(P4)  $\vdash (\exists!y)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$

For  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , if there exists  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  in  $T$  such that

- (P1) and (P2) hold,  $f$  is *numeralwise representable* in  $T$  as a partial function
- (P1) and (P3) hold,  $f$  is *type-one representable* in  $T$
- (P1) and (P4) hold,  $f$  is *strongly representable* in  $T$  as a partial function

# Representability theorems for partial recursive functions

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Theorem (I)

*Let  $T$  be any arithmetical theory. All partial recursive functions are type-one representable in  $T$ .*

## Theorem (II)

*Let  $T$  be a **classical** arithmetical theory. All partial recursive functions are strongly representable in  $T$  as partial functions.*



# Consequences of the Existence Property (EP)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof  
Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## The Existence Property (EP)

For every formula  $\varphi$  in  $T$  and any variable  $x$  occurring free in  $\varphi$ ,

if  $\vdash (\exists x)\varphi$ , then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\vdash \varphi \left[ \frac{\bar{n}}{x} \right]$ .

# The Kleene normal form theorem (alternate version)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Theorem (Kleene normal form)

*For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k > 0$ , there exist primitive recursive functions  $U : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $T_k : \mathbb{N}^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any partial recursive function  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a number  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$f(\mathbf{m}) \simeq U(\mu_n(T_k(e, \mathbf{m}, n) = 0))$$

*for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .*

# The strong representability of primitive recursive functions in arithmetical theories

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Theorem

*Let  $T$  be any arithmetical theory. All primitive recursive functions are strongly representable in  $T$  as total functions.*

## Proof.

It suffices to express the basic functions and the recursion schemes (S) and (PR) by formulas in  $T$ . For example:

- $y = S(x)$  strongly represents the successor function.
- If  $g, h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  are primitive recursive and strongly representable by  $\psi(y, z)$  and  $\varphi(x, y)$ , respectively, then

$$(\exists y)(\varphi(x, y) \wedge \psi(y, z))$$

strongly represents  $f = g(h) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .



# Representing functions obtained by partial minimisation

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Lemma (1)

*Let  $g : \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) be a total function that is numeralwise representable in  $T$  as a total function, and let  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be obtained from  $g$  by partial  $\mu$ . Then,  $f$  is type-one representable in  $T$ .*

## Proof.

$g$  is numeralwise representable in  $T$  by  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  and  $f$  is defined by

$$f(\mathbf{m}) \simeq \mu_n(g(\mathbf{m}, n) = 0).$$

Thus,  $f$  is type-one representable in  $T$  by the formula

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}, y, 0) \wedge (\forall u)(u < y \Rightarrow \neg\sigma(\mathbf{x}, u, 0)).$$



# Weak representability of r.e. relations

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Definition (Weak representability)

A relation  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  is *weakly representable* in  $T$  if there exists a formula  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  with exactly  $k$  free variables such that, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,

$$E(\mathbf{m}) \text{ iff } \vdash \psi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}).$$

## Lemma (2)

*All  $k$ -ary r.e. relations on  $\mathbb{N}$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) are weakly representable in  $T$ .*

(long technical proof)

# Proof of Theorem (I)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

**Proof**

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Theorem (I)

*Let  $T$  be any arithmetical theory. All partial recursive functions are type-one representable in  $T$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a partial recursive function.

$k = 0$ : If  $f$  is the constant  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , take the formula  $\bar{n} = y$ . If  $f$  is completely undefined, take the formula  $y = y \wedge 0 \neq 0$ .

# Proof of Theorem (I)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

Proof (continued).

$k \geq 1$ : By the Kleene normal form theorem, we obtain  $U : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T_k : \mathbb{N}^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{m}) \simeq U(\mu_n(T_k(e, \mathbf{m}, n) = 0)) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k.$$

As  $T_k$  is primitive recursive, by Lemma 1 there exists a formula  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, z)$  that type-one represents the partial function given by

$$\mu_n(T_k(e, \mathbf{m}, n) = 0) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k.$$

As  $U$  is primitive recursive, there exists a formula  $\varphi(z, y)$  that strongly represents  $U$  as a total function.  $\varphi$  also type-one represents  $U$ .

# Proof of Theorem (I)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (continued).

By Lemma 2, there exists a formula  $\eta(\mathbf{x})$  that weakly represents the r.e. domain  $D_f$  of  $f$ . Then,  $f$  is type-one representable in  $T$  by the formula  $\theta(\mathbf{x}, y)$  defined by

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}) \wedge (\exists z)(\sigma(\mathbf{x}, z) \wedge \varphi(z, y)).$$

Indeed,

- (P3) for  $\theta$  follows from (P3) for  $\sigma$  and  $\varphi$ .
- For (P1), since  $\eta$  weakly represents  $D_f$ , we only have to consider inputs on which  $f$  is defined. Hence, we can show that  $\vdash \theta(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p})$  implies  $f(\mathbf{m}) \simeq p$  by (P3) for  $\theta$  and the fact that  $\vdash \overline{f(\mathbf{m})} = \overline{p}$  iff  $f(\mathbf{m}) = p$ .





# Exact separability of r.e. relations

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Definition (Exact separability)

Two relations  $E, F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  are *exactly separable* in  $T$  if there exists a formula  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  in  $T$  with exactly  $k$  free variables such that, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{m}) &\text{ iff } \vdash \psi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}) \\ F(\mathbf{m}) &\text{ iff } \vdash \neg\psi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}) \end{aligned}$$

## Lemma (3)

Let  $T$  be a **classical** arithmetical theory. Any two disjoint  $k$ -ary r.e. relations on  $\mathbb{N}$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) are exactly separable in  $T$ .

(long technical proof)

# Proof of Theorem (II)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Theorem (II)

Let  $T$  be a **classical** arithmetical theory. All partial recursive functions are strongly representable in  $T$  as partial functions.

## Proof.

Let  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a partial recursive function.

$k = 0$ : If  $f$  is completely undefined, let  $G$  be a closed undecidable formula in  $T$  and take

$$(y = 0 \Rightarrow \neg G) \wedge (y \neq 0 \Rightarrow G) \wedge y < \bar{2}$$

# Proof of Theorem (II)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (continued).

$k \geq 1$ : Let  $n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  be distinct. By Lemma 3, we obtain a formula  $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$  that exactly separates  $f^{-1}(\{n_0\})$  and  $f^{-1}(\{n_1\})$ . By Theorem (I), we obtain a formula  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  that type-one represents  $f$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mathbf{x}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\exists z)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) \wedge \neg\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \overline{n_0}) \wedge \neg\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \overline{n_1}) \\ \theta(\mathbf{x}, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)) \vee (\neg\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \wedge y = \overline{n_0}) \\ &\quad \vee (\neg\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \neg\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \wedge y = \overline{n_1}).\end{aligned}$$

By (EM),  $\vdash (\neg\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \neg\sigma(\mathbf{x})) \vee (\neg\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \sigma(\mathbf{x})) \vee \psi(\mathbf{x})$ , from which (P4) follows. (P1) is obtained by cases.  $\square$

# Representability of total recursive functions

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

**Total  
recursive  
functions**

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Corollary (of Theorem (I))

*Let  $T$  be an arithmetical theory. All total recursive functions are numeralwise representable in  $T$  as total functions.*

## Corollary (of Theorem (II))

*Let  $T$  be a **classical** arithmetical theory. All total recursive functions are strongly representable in  $T$  as total functions.*

# Classifying categories

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

- Given a theory  $T$ , we construct a classifying category  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ :
  - objects: formulas of  $T$
  - morphisms: equivalence classes of provably functional relations between formulas
- For a general theory  $T$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  is regular.
- If  $T$  is an intuitionistic arithmetical theory, we claim that in  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ :
  - there is at least a weak NNO;
  - numerals are standard;
  - 1 is projective and indecomposable.

# Work in progress

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

For an arithmetical theory  $T$ :

- 1 Consider the formulas representing recursive functions in  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  (for all possible variations). What sub-categories do we obtain?
- 2 Construct a partial map category associated with  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  and show it is a Turing category.
- 3 Ultimately, we want to consider partial recursive functionals of higher type using a notion of  $\lambda$ -calculus with equalisers and a construction of the free CCC with equalisers.

# Acknowledgements

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof  
Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Scott, the conference organisers, the University of Ottawa, and NSERC.

# References

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

- [LS] J. Lambek and P. Scott. *Introduction to higher order categorical logic*. Vol. 7. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [RY] R. W. Ritchie and P. R. Young. ‘Strong Representability of Partial Functions in Arithmetic Theories’. In: *Information Sciences* 1.2 (1969), pp. 189–204.
- [S] J. C. Shepherdson. ‘Representability of recursively enumerable sets in formal theories’. In: *Archiv für mathematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung* 5.3 (1961), pp. 119–127.
- [Sh] J. R. Shoenfield. *Mathematical Logic*. Addison-Wesley Series in Logic. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1967.



# Kleene Equality

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

To deal with partialness, we use Kleene Equality. If  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are two expressions on  $\mathbb{N}$  that may or may not be defined, then

$$e_1 \simeq e_2 \text{ iff } (e_1, e_2 \text{ are defined and equal}) \\ \text{OR } (e_1, e_2 \text{ are undefined}).$$

For example, if  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a partial function and  $\mathbf{m}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{m}) \not\simeq n \text{ iff } (f(\mathbf{m}) \text{ is defined but not equal to } n) \\ \text{OR } (f(\mathbf{m}) \text{ is undefined}).$$

# Consequences of the Existence Property (EP)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

If  $T$  is classical:

- $G$  is a closed undecidable formula in  $T$ ,  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  the completely undefined function.

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\equiv} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \wedge (y = 0 \Rightarrow \neg G) \wedge (y \neq 0 \Rightarrow G) \wedge y < \bar{2}$$

strongly represents  $f$  in  $T$  as a partial function.

- If  $T$  were to satisfy EP, then  $\vdash G$  or  $\vdash \neg G$ , a contradiction.

# Consequences of the Existence Property (EP)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

If  $T$  is intuitionistic:

- Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a partial function undefined at  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Suppose that there exists  $\varphi(x, y)$  satisfying (P1) and (P4).
- By (P4),  $\vdash (\exists y)\varphi(\bar{m}, y)$ .
- By EP, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\vdash \varphi(\bar{m}, \bar{n})$ .
- By (P1),  $f(m) \simeq n$ , and so  $f(m)$  is defined.  
Contradiction.

So, strong representability of partial functions doesn't make sense and Theorem (II) fails.

# A technical lemma

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Lemma

*Let  $E_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  and  $E_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+j}$  ( $k, j \geq 0$ ) be r.e. relations.*

*There exists a formula  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$  in  $T$  with  $k + j$  free variables such that, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$  and  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^j$ ,*

*if  $E_1(\mathbf{m})$  and  $\neg E_2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p})$ , then  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{\mathbf{p}})$*

*if  $\neg E_1(\mathbf{m})$  and  $E_2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p})$ , then  $\not\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{\mathbf{p}})$ .*

# A technical lemma

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (idea).

(Adapted from the case for  $j = 0$  in [S]) Let  $E_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  and  $E_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+j}$  ( $k, j \geq 0$ ) be r.e. relations. There exist primitive recursive relations  $F_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+j+1}$  such that, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$  and  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\mathbf{m}) &\text{ iff } \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } F_1(\mathbf{m}, n) \\ E_2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}) &\text{ iff } \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } F_2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, n). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain formulas  $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}, y)$  and  $\psi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, y)$  that numeralwise represent  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively, in  $T$ . Then,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$  given by

$$(\exists y)(\psi_1(\mathbf{x}, y) \wedge (\forall z)(z \leq y \Rightarrow \neg\psi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, z)))$$

is the required formula. □

# Weak representability of r.e. relations (proof)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements

Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions

Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (Lemma 2).

$k = 0$ :  $\mathbb{N}^0 = \{*\}$  is weakly representable by  $0 = 0$  and  $\emptyset$  is weakly representable by  $0 \neq 0$ .

$k \geq 1$ : Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ , let  $\mathbf{x}, y$  be  $k + 1$  distinct fixed variables.  $T$  has an associated Gödel numbering where  $\ulcorner \psi \urcorner$  denotes the Gödel number of  $\psi$  and  $\gamma_n$  is the formula with Gödel number  $n$ . Then, we can construct a primitive recursive function  $g : \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(\mathbf{m}, n) = \begin{cases} \ulcorner \gamma_n \left[ \frac{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{x}}, \frac{\overline{n}}{y} \right] \urcorner & \text{if } \gamma_n \text{ exists} \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# Weak representability of r.e. relations (proof)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (continued).

Since  $T$  is an r.e. theory,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$  given by

$$D(\mathbf{m}, n) \text{ iff } GTHM_T(g(\mathbf{m}, n)) \text{ iff } \vdash \gamma_n \left[ \frac{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{x}}, \frac{\bar{n}}{y} \right]$$

is an r.e. relation. By the technical lemma, we obtain  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, y)$  in  $T$  such that, for all  $\mathbf{m}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ ,

$$\text{if } E(\mathbf{m}) \text{ and } \not\vdash \gamma_n \left[ \frac{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{x}}, \frac{\bar{n}}{y} \right], \text{ then } \vdash \varphi(\bar{\mathbf{m}}, \bar{n})$$

$$\text{if } \neg E(\mathbf{m}) \text{ and } \vdash \gamma_n \left[ \frac{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{x}}, \frac{\bar{n}}{y} \right], \text{ then } \not\vdash \varphi(\bar{\mathbf{m}}, \bar{n}).$$



# Weak representability of r.e. relations (proof)

Representing  
Recursive  
Functions

Yan Steimle

Definitions

Main  
theorems

Statements  
Proof

Total  
recursive  
functions

Conclusion

Future  
directions  
Concluding  
remarks

Appendix

## Proof (continued).

Let  $p = \ulcorner \varphi(\mathbf{x}, y) \urcorner$ . Then,  $\gamma_p = \varphi$  and so, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,

if  $E(\mathbf{m})$  and  $\not\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p})$ , then  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p})$

if  $\neg E(\mathbf{m})$  and  $\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p})$ , then  $\not\vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p})$ .

It follows that, for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,

$$E(\mathbf{m}) \text{ iff } \vdash \varphi(\overline{\mathbf{m}}, \overline{p}),$$

and so  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \overline{p})$  weakly represents  $E$  in  $T$ . □